

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/790,274	03/01/2004	Joachim Ernst Berkner	PRD-2043-USA-NP	9532
27777 7	590 06/13/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/790,274	BERKNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Raymond Covington	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	el6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/04.7//p4	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \Rightarrow 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16 and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maryanoff et al US 4,582,916.

Maryanoff et al teach the claimed pyran and cyclohexyl compounds and compositions recited in the claims. See column 1 line 42 to column 2 line 62.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC → 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maryanoff et al US 4,582,916 taken with Maryanoff et al US 5,387,700 in view of Hatt et al Aust. Jol. Chem. Vol.18 no.12 pp 2045-2048(1965) Chem. Abs..

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP >2141.01)

Art Unit: 1625

Maryanoff et al US '916 teach the claimed pyran and cyclohexyl compounds and compositions recited in the claims. See column 1 line 42 to column 2 line 62.

Maryanoff et al US '700 teach analogous compounds made by a process in which a compound corresponding to applicants' formula (II) is reacted to form a compound corresponding to formula (I). See, for example column 4 lines 38-55, column 5 lines 30-60. Hatt et al teach an analogous process of reacting sulfamide (read sulfuryl diamide) and pyranose to obtain compounds of the same type as recited in the claims.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 32141.02)

The Maryanoff et al references differ in that they do not teach using sulfamide I the formation of the -O-SO₂-NH₂ substituent on the compound of formula (II)

Finding of prima facie obviousness-rational and motivation (MPEP >2142-2413)

However, Hatt et al teach sulfamide to be known for use in adding these substituents to other analogous pyranose compounds. To modify Maryanoff et al to use sulfamide would have been obvious as the results, the use of somewhat different but otherwise analogues starting materials in an otherwise known process, would not have been unexpected and therefore unpatentable.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> **Raymond Covington** Examiner

KNerar 6/7/05

Art Unit 1625